### **BEFORE THE**

### **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

### OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Proceeding to Establish Guidelines	)	
For an Intrastate Universal Service	)	Docket No. 1997-239-C
Fund	)	

# REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TALMAGE O. COX, III FOR

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE CAROLINAS

Filed September 15, 2004

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, employer and current position.
2	A.	My name is Talmage O. Cox, III. My business address is 6450 Sprint Parkway,
3		Overland Park, KS 66251. I am employed as Senior Manager – Network Cost for
4		Sprint Corporation.
5		
6	Q.	Are you the same Talmage O. Cox, III who submitted direct testimony on
7		behalf of Sprint?
8	A.	Yes, I am.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your Testimony?
11	A.	My rebuttal testimony will address the following areas related to Staff witness's
12		direct testimony filed September 8, 2004.
13		Barbara Crawford Direct Testimony
14		1. Land & Building Replacement Cost
15		2. Embedded Depreciation Expense
16		David Lacoste Direct Testimony
17		1. Depreciation Rates contained in a forward looking economic
18		cost study.
19		
20	Q.	Please comment on the use of replacement cost related to land and building
21		assets utilized in Sprint's switched access cost study.
22	A.	Sprint's forward looking economic cost methodology appropriately utilizes
23		replacement cost associated with land and buildings. Consistent with the Total
24		Service Long Run Incremental Cost (TSLRIC) methodology we have utilized

forward looking investment data in the development of our cost results. Use of embedded assets in the development of Sprint's forward looking economic cost of switched access would be inconsistent with the TSLRIC methodology based on the requirement that the study should utilize forward looking least cost investments currently available.

A.

## Q. Please comment on Ms. Crawford's findings that depreciation expense in Sprint's filing does not tie to the Company's trial balance.

Book depreciation expenses are not utilized in Sprint's switched access cost study and have no impact on the results. Separate economic lives and salvage values for switching investments are utilized to compute the depreciation component within the Annual Charge Factor Module. The use of forward looking economic lives is consistent with the forward looking economic costing methodology utilized by Sprint. Use of embedded depreciation expenses would be inconsistent with the TSLRIC methodology and should not be utilized in Sprint's switched access cost study.

A.

## Q. Why is it not appropriate to utilize embedded depreciation rates in a forward looking economic cost study?

Economic depreciation rates are appropriate for a forward looking economic cost study. The forward looking economic depreciation rate is based on the economic life of the least cost forward looking technology that can be placed in service today.

This is consistent with the Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost (TSLRIC) methodology requirement to use least cost forward looking investment currently

	available. Utilizing historical embedded depreciation rates would be inconsistent
	with a methodology that requires the use of forward-looking versus historic costs.
Q.	Is Sprint requesting a change in its existing embedded depreciation rates with
	this filing?
A.	No. The forward looking economic depreciation rate utilized in this filing is
	associated only with the production of Sprint's TSLRIC estimates.
Q.	Please discuss the outcome if the Public Service Commission of South Carolina
	orders Sprint to utilize an inappropriate embedded depreciation rate.
A.	orders Sprint to utilize an inappropriate embedded depreciation rate.  If the Public Service Commission of South Carolina orders Sprint to utilize an
A.	
A.	If the Public Service Commission of South Carolina orders Sprint to utilize an
A.	If the Public Service Commission of South Carolina orders Sprint to utilize an inappropriate embedded depreciation rate, the cost study results for intrastate
A.	If the Public Service Commission of South Carolina orders Sprint to utilize an inappropriate embedded depreciation rate, the cost study results for intrastate switched access service would produce a lower cost. The lower cost would then
A.	If the Public Service Commission of South Carolina orders Sprint to utilize an inappropriate embedded depreciation rate, the cost study results for intrastate switched access service would produce a lower cost. The lower cost would then increase the revenue loss, therefore increasing the amount for Universal Service
A. Q.	If the Public Service Commission of South Carolina orders Sprint to utilize an inappropriate embedded depreciation rate, the cost study results for intrastate switched access service would produce a lower cost. The lower cost would then increase the revenue loss, therefore increasing the amount for Universal Service
	A.